



CSTS Standards

Agenda

1/26/10; 9:30 to 11:45

STI

	Minutes	Dan Pepper
	Additions to the agenda	All
	CSTS Reference Tables <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Audit tool• Adult stay types/old juvenile stays• Phone types/	All
	Case sealing/expungement issue	Jan Krogman
	Suggestion from Anoka re: missing SID #s schema (see attached email excerpts)	All
	Case # standards	Jenny
	Review MCCC web page	All
	Sharepoint options	Dan Traun
	1 st quarter 2010 meeting options to facilitate Manual review	All
	Review Manual (bulk of meeting)	All
	Next meeting date: February 23, 2010	

Email received from Sue Blomquist/Anoka County:

12/29/09

Hi Jennye

...I would like to use suspense09 instead of NA12292009 in the SID field of CSTS when we know it is a MN case in suspense. We could still use the NA when out of state if we need to. It would be one more way that PO's could see on S³ and CSTS that more info can be found.

I responded to Sue that using "NADate" when we couldn't find a SID was the previously approved standard.

Sue's response, 1/5/10

...I still believe that if we saw "suspense09" or "suspense10", anyone would see at a glance on S³ that a fingerprint would need to be taken and/or further digging would have to occur to get a complete history. Suspense files are found on the QH of BCA not the QR response. NADATE would still be used for all the transfers coming in from other states where they do NOT have a MN ID. Just a thought. (Anoka is using the NADATE so we are following standards)